

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,899	12/27/2000	David W. Grawrock	42390P9844	9094
8791	7590 04/21/2004		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
	ES, CA 90025			PAPER NUMBER
	•		2175	7
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/751,899	GRAWROCK, DAVID W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Mahmoudi	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period when the period of	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Fe</u> 2a) □ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pr	*
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	



Art Unit; 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to communications filed on 05-February-2004, claims 1-21 are presently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over England (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,670) in view of Adams et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,363,485), and further in view of Reardon (U.S. Patent No. 6,212,635.)

As to claim 1, England teaches a method (see Abstract) comprising:
authenticating a user of a platform during a Basic Input/Output System (BIOS) boot
process (see column 6, lines 9-23, and see column 7, lines 33-50); and

decrypt a second BIOS area to recover a second segment of BIOS code (see column 7, lines 45-62.)

England does not teach:

Art Unit: 2175

combining the first keying material with a second keying material internally stored within the platform in order to produce a combination key; and

using the combination key to decrypt code.

Adams et al teaches a multi-factor biometric authentication device and method (see Abstract), in which he teaches combining the first keying material with a second keying material internally stored within the platform in order to produce a combination key (see Abstract, and see column 2, lines 34-39, and see column 3, lines 10-17); and using the combination key to decrypt code (see column 2, lines 48-62, and see column 5, lines 44-54.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>England</u> to include using the combination key to decrypt code; and using the combination key to decrypt code.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>England</u> by the teaching of <u>Adams et al</u>, because combining the first keying material with a second keying material internally stored within the platform in order to produce a combination key; and using the combination key to decrypt code, would provide more security for user authentications and data access by users.

England as modified, still does not teach: releasing a first keying material from a token communicatively coupled to the platform in response to authenticating the user.

Reardon teaches a network security system (see Abstract), in which he teaches releasing a first keying material from a token communicatively coupled to the platform in response to authenticating the user (see column 3, lines 18-67, and see column 8, lines 43-67.)

Art Unit: 2175

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>England</u> as modified, to include releasing a first keying material from a token communicatively coupled to the platform in response to authenticating the user.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>England</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Reardon</u>, because releasing a first keying material from a token communicatively coupled to the platform in response to authenticating the user, would enhance the system security, because the token could be easily transported, like an ID card. The "key" to the data can therefore be stored away from the Data, as taught by <u>Reardon</u> (see column 2, lines 51-67.)

As to claim 2, <u>England</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising: continuing the BIOS boot process (see <u>England</u>, column 11, lines 54-63.)

As to claim 3, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein prior to authenticating the user (see <u>England</u>, column 6, lines 9-23, and see column 7, lines 33-50), the method comprises:

loading a BIOS code including a first BIOS area and a second BIOS area (see England, column 11, lines 30-63), the first BIOS area being an encrypted first segment of the BIOS code and the second BIOS area being an encrypted second segment of the BIOS code (see England, column 10, lines 4-13, and see column 16, lines 52-66.)

Art Unit; 2175

As to claim 4, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein after loading of the BIOS code, the method further comprises:

decrypting the first BIOS area to recover the first segment of the BIOS code (see England, column 10, lines 41-51.)

As to claim 5, <u>England</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising: unbinding keying material associated with a non-volatile storage device to access contents stored within the non-volatile storage device (see <u>England</u>, figure 1B.)

As to claim 6, <u>England</u> as modified still does not teach wherein the combination key is a value formed by performing an exclusive OR operation on both the first keying material and the second keying material.

Adams et al, in another embodiment of his invention teaches wherein the combination key is a value formed by performing an exclusive OR operation on both the first keying material and the second keying material (see Abstract, and see column 3, line 59 through column 4, line 3.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>England</u> as modified, to include wherein the combination key is a value formed by performing an exclusive OR operation on both the first keying material and the second keying material.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>England</u> as modified, by the further teaching of <u>Adams</u>

Art Unit: 2175

et al, because wherein the combination key is a value formed by performing an exclusive OR operation on both the first keying material and the second keying material, would provide an effective way of combining keys in encryption and authentication environment.

As to claim 7, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein authentication of the user is performed through biometrics (see <u>Adams et al</u>, Abstract, and see column 2, lines 31-47.)

As to claim 8, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the second keying material is stored within internal memory of a trusted platform module (see <u>England</u>, Abstract; see column 15, lines 62-67, and column 16, lines 42-49.)

As to claim 9, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the second keying material is stored within a section of access-controlled system memory of the platform (see <u>England</u>, column 19, lines 18-28, and see figure 10.)

As to claim 10, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein prior to authenticating the user, the method comprises:

loading a BIOS code including a first BIOS area (see <u>England</u>, column 11, lines 30-63) being a first segment of the BIOS code encrypted using a selected keying material (see <u>England</u>, column 10, lines 4-13, and see column 16, lines 52-66); and

loading an integrity metric including a hash value of an identification information of the platform (see England, column 2, line 60 through column 3, line 30.)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 11, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the identification information includes a serial number of an integrated circuit device employed within the platform (see <u>England</u>, column 18, lines 47-54.)

4. Claims 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over England (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,670) in view of Adams et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,363,485.)

As to claim 12, <u>England</u> teaches an integrated circuit device (see column 5, lines 52-62) comprising:

a boot block memory unit (see column 11, lines 26-47, and see figures 7A-7C); and a trusted platform module communicatively coupled to the boot block memory unit (see column 11, lines 48-53), and to decrypt a second BIOS area to recover a second segment of BIOS code (see column 7, lines 45-62.)

England does not teach to produce a combination key by combining a first incoming keying material with a second keying material internally stored within the integrated circuit.

Adams et al teaches a multi-factor biometric authentication device and method (see Abstract), in which he teaches to produce a combination key by combining a first incoming keying material with a second keying material internally stored within the integrated circuit (see Abstract, and see column 2, lines 34-39, and see column 3, lines 10-17.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>England</u> to include producing a combination

Art Unit: 2175

key by combining a first incoming keying material with a second keying material internally stored within the integrated circuit.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified England by the teaching of Adams et al, because producing a combination key by combining a first incoming keying material with a second keying material internally stored within the integrated circuit, would provide more security for user authentications and data access by users.

As to claim 13, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the boot block memory unit to load a BIOS code including a first BIOS area and a second BIOS area (see <u>England</u>, column 11, lines 30-63), the first BIOS area being an encrypted first segment of the BIOS code and the second BIOS area being an encrypted second segment of the BIOS code (see <u>England</u>, column 10, lines 4-13, and see column 16, lines 52-66.)

As to claim 14, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the trusted platform module to decrypt the first BIOS area to recover the first segment of the BIOS code (see <u>England</u>, column 10, lines 41-51.)

As to claim 15, <u>England</u> teaches a platform (see column 52-62) comprising: an input/output control hub (ICH) (see column 6, lines 9-23);

a non-volatile memory unit coupled to the ICH, the non-volatile memory unit including a BIOS code including a first BIOS area and a second BIOS area (see figure 1A), the first BIOS area being an encrypted first segment of the BIOS code and the second BIOS area

Art Unit: 2175

being an encrypted second segment of the BIOS code (see column 10, lines 4-13, and see column 16, lines 52-66);

For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is kindly directed to remarks and discussions made in claim 12 above.

As to claim 16, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the trusted platform module to further decrypt the first BIOS area to recover the first segment of the BIOS code in an non-encrypted format (see <u>England</u>, column 10, lines 41-51.)

As to claim 17, <u>England</u> as modified teaches the platform further comprising a hard disk drive coupled to the ICH (see <u>England</u>, figure 1A.)

As to claims 18 and 21, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the trusted platform module to further unbind keying material associated with the hard disk drive to access contents stored within the hard disk drive (see <u>England</u>, figure 1B.)

As to claim 19, <u>England</u> teaches a program loaded into readable memory for execution by a trusted platform module of a platform (see column 5, lines 39-51.) For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is kindly directed to remarks and discussions made in claims 12 and 15 above.

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 20, <u>England</u> as modified teaches wherein the first BIOS area is the first segment of the BIOS code encrypted with a keying material (see <u>England</u>, column 10, lines 4-13, and see column 16, lines 52-66) and the second BIOS area is the second segment of the BIOS code encrypted with the combination key (see <u>England</u>, column 7, line 51 through column 8, line 6, and see column 13, lines 60-67.)

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 05-February-2004 with respect to the rejected claims in view of the cited references have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds for rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Mahmoudi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4887. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 08:00 am to 04:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

tm

April 6, 2004

DOV POPOVICE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100